



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Rozenzon, et al.

Serial No.: 09/325,026

Filed: June 3, 1999

For: TEMPERATURE CONTROLLED  
WINDOW WITH A FLUID  
SUPPLY SYSTEM

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Group Art Unit: 1763

Examiner: Alejandro-Mulero, L.

Conf. No.: 2304

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 37 C.F.R. 1.8	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited on 4/18/01, with the U. S. Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.	
Date 4/18/01	Signature <i>[Signature]</i>

Dear Sir:

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED MARCH 27, 2001**

In response to the Office Action dated March 27, 2001, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on April 27, 2001, Applicants respectfully request consideration of the following Remarks.

**REMARKS**

This Response is intended as a full, complete, and timely response to the Office Action dated March 27, 2001, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on April 27, 2001. Claims 1-71 are pending in the application.

The Examiner states that the response filed on November 7, 2000 is not fully responsive to the Office Action dated September 26, 2000. The Examiner states that the response appears to be *bona fide* and requests clarification of the Applicants' election. Additionally, the Examiner has indicated that claims 1-15, 41-51 and 71 are generic.

Applicants confirm the election of Group I, claims 1-17, 29-34 and 41-71, and Species A, with traverse. Applicants agree that claims 1-15, 41-51 and 71 are generic. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.141, an allowed generic claim may link a reasonable

number of species embraced thereby. Therefore, should generic claims 1-15, 41-51 and 71 be found allowable, dependant claims 16-17 and 52-56 should also be found allowable. Applicants further maintain the grounds for traversal of the restriction requirement presented in the response filed November 7, 2000.

Applicants submit that generic claims 1-15, 41-51, and 71, and claims 29-34 of Group I are readable on Species A.

In view of the remarks presented above, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal and/or reconsideration of the restriction requirements relative to claims 1-71.

If for any reason the Examiner determines that the present application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact, by telephone, Applicants undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview, telephonic or in person, to expedite the disposition of this application.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Keith M. Tackett  
Registration No. 32,008  
THOMASON, MOSER & PATTERSON, L.L.P.  
3040 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 1500  
Houston, Texas 77056  
Telephone 713/623-4844  
Facsimile 713/623-4846  
Attorney for Applicants